

Please quote as: Söllner, M. & Leimeister, J. M. (2010): Did they all get it wrong? Towards a better measurement model of trust. In: Academy of Management Annual Meeting, Montréal, Canada.

Did they all get it wrong?

Towards a better measurement model of trust

ABSTRACT

The existence of trust in an IT-based service and its providers is an important basis for e.g. the decision to adopt and continuously use such services. Furthermore it improves the chances for the successful collaboration in virtual teams and forming strategic alliances. Due to its importance this research area has drawn much attention and has been intensively researched in recent years. We therefore thought it is necessary to analyze the current state of the art in quantitative trust research guided by two research questions: a) Which distinct antecedents of the various kinds of trust have been quantitatively examined? b) How did the researchers measure trust, and how reliable are these measurements? Based on the results of this literature review we decided to include a third research questions c) How can current trust measurement models be enhanced to strengthen the impact of the results?

In order to answer those questions we conducted a systematic literature review analyzing the top 5 journals listed in impact factor ranking (ScienceWatch.com, 2009) in the years from 2002 to 2009, identifying a total of 44 relevant articles. We found that most antecedents studied there are related to system trust. Concerning the measurement of trust we found that all but one contributions reviewed used reflective measurement models, even though the used theory suggest using a formative measurement approach. This questions the reliability of the measurements and consequently the reliability of the found antecedents. Furthermore the theoretical base of trust is questioned since the biased results were usually integrated into the theory. Additionally the lack of formative measurement leads to a lack of insights about the impact of single factors for

building and supporting trust. We therefore developed a formative first-order, formative second-order measurement model for trust using trust theory and a logic that is easily transferable to other areas of interest.

According to our findings, future research should more rigorously discuss the appropriateness of the measurement models used and stop adapting previous measurement models without discussing their suitability. Additionally the formative measurement of trust should be used in the future to gain insights about the success factors for building and supporting trust.

Keywords: trust, antecedents, measurement.

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Rahman, A. & Hailes, S. 2000. Supporting Trust in Virtual Communities, *Proceedings of the 33rd HICSS - Volume 6*. Hawaii.
- Albers, S. 2009. PLS and Success Factor Studies in Marketing. In V. Esposito Vinzi & W. W. Chin & J. Henseler & H. Wang (Eds.), *Handbook of Partial Least Squares. Concepts, Methods, and Applications in Marketing and Related Areas*. Berlin: Springer.
- Aulakh, P. S., Kotabe, M., & Sahay, A. 1996. TRUST AND PERFORMANCE IN CROSS-BORDER MARKETING PARTNERSHIPS: A BEHAVIORAL APPROACH. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 27(5): 1005-1032.
- Ba, S. & Pavlou, P. A. 2002. Evidence of the Effect of Trust Building Technology in Electronic Markets: Price Premiums and Buyer Behavior. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(3): 243-268.
- Bart, Y., Shankar, V., Sultan, F., & Urban, G. L. 2005. Are the Drivers and Role of Online Trust the Same for All Web Sites and Consumers? A Large-Scale Exploratory Empirical Study. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(4): 133-152.
- Becerra, M. & Gupta, A. K. 2003. Perceived Trustworthiness Within the Organization: The Moderating Impact of Communication Frequency on Trustor and Trustee Effects. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 32-44.
- Benbasat, I., Gefen, D., & Pavlou, P. A. 2008. Special Issue: Trust in Online Environments. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(4): 5-11.
- Bhattacharjee, A. 2002. Individual Trust in Online Firms: Scale Development and Initial Test. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(1): 211-241.
- Carson, S. J., Madhok, A., Varman, R., & John, G. 2003. Information Processing Moderators of the Effectiveness of Trust-Based Governance in Interfirm R&D Collaboration. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 45-56.
- Chao, C. C., Ya-Ru, C., & Xin, K. 2004. Guanxi Practices and Trust in Management: A Procedural Justice Perspective. *Organization Science*, 15(2): 200-209.
- Child, J. & Möllering, G. 2003. Contextual Confidence and Active Trust Development in the Chinese Business Environment. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 69-80.

- Choudhury, V. & Karahanna, E. 2008. The Relative Advantage of Electronic Channels: A Multidimensional View. *MIS Quarterly*, 32: 179-200.
- Chua, R. Y. J., Ingram, P., & Morris, M. W. 2008. FROM THE HEAD AND THE HEART: LOCATING COGNITION- AND AFFECT-BASED TRUST IN MANAGERS' PROFESSIONAL NETWORKS. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(3): 436-452.
- Collins, C. J. & Smith, K. G. 2006. KNOWLEDGE EXCHANGE AND COMBINATION: THE ROLE OF HUMAN RESOURCE PRACTICES IN THE PERFORMANCE OF HIGH-TECHNOLOGY FIRMS. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(3): 544-560.
- Cyr, D., Head, M., Larios, H., & Bing, P. 2009. Exploring Human Images in Website Design: A Multi-Method Approach. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(3): 539-A539.
- Diamantopoulos, A. & Winklhofer, H. M. 2001. Index Construction with Formative Indicators: An Alternative to Scale Development. *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)*, 38: 269-277.
- Dulac, T., Coyle-Shapiro, J. A. M., Henderson, D. J., & Wayne, S. J. 2008. NOT ALL RESPONSES TO BREACH ARE THE SAME: THE INTERCONNECTION OF SOCIAL EXCHANGE AND PSYCHOLOGICAL CONTRACT PROCESSES IN ORGANIZATIONS. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(6): 1079-1098.
- Dyer, J. H. & Chu, W. 2003. The Role of Trustworthiness in Reducing Transaction Costs and Improving Performance: Empirical Evidence from the United States, Japan, and Korea. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 57-68.
- Ebert, T. A. E. 2009. Facets of Trust in Relationships – A Literature Synthesis of Highly Ranked Trust Articles. *Journal of Business Market Management*, 3(1): 65-84.
- Erikson, E. H. 1968. *Identity: Youth and crisis*. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Ferrin, D. L. & Dirks, K. T. 2003. The Use of Rewards to Increase and Decrease Trust: Mediating Processes and Differential Effects. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 18-31.
- Fornell, C. & Bookstein, F. L. 1982. A Comparative Analysis of Two Structural Equation Models: LISREL and PLS Applied to Market Data. In C. Fornell (Ed.), *A Second Generation of Multivariate Analysis*, Vol. 1: 289-324. New York: Praeger.
- Fukuyama, F. 1995. *Trust: the social virtues and the creation of prosperity*. New York: The Free Press.
- Gefen, D. 2002. Customer Loyalty in E-Commerce. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 3(1): 27-51.
- Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. 2003. Trust and TAM in Online Shopping: An Integrated Model. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1): 51-90.
- Gefen, D. & Straub, D. W. 2004. Consumer trust in B2C e-Commerce and the importance of social presence: experiments in e-Products and e-Services. *Omega*, 32(6): 407-424.
- George, E. 2003. External Solutions and Internal Problems: The Effects of Employment Externalization on Internal Workers' Attitudes. *Organization Science*, 14(4): 386-402.
- Goo, J., Kishore, R., Rao, H. R., & Nam, K. 2009. The Role of Service Level Agreements in Relational Management of Information Technology Outsourcing: An Empirical Study. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(1): 119-145.
- Gulati, R. & Sytch, M. 2007. Dependence Asymmetry and Joint Dependence in Interorganizational Relationships: Effects of Embeddedness on a Manufacturer's Performance in Procurement Relationships. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(1): 32-69.
- Gulati, R. & Nickerson, J. A. 2008. Interorganizational Trust, Governance Choice, and Exchange Performance. *Organization Science*, 19(5): 688-708.
- Huff, L. & Kelley, L. 2003. Levels of organizational trust in individualist versus collectivist societies: A seven-nation study. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 81-90.
- Iacovou, C. L., Thompson, R. L., & Smith, H. J. 2009. SELECTIVE STATUS REPORTING IN INFORMATION SYSTEMS PROJECTS: A DYADIC-LEVEL INVESTIGATION. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(4): 785-A785.

- Jarvenpaa, S. L., Shaw, T. R., & Staples, D. S. 2004. Toward Contextualized Theories of Trust: The Role of Trust in Global Virtual Teams. *Information Systems Research*, 15(3): 250-264.
- Jarvis, C. B., Mackenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. 2003. A Critical Review of Construct Indicators and Measurement Model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 30(2): 199-218.
- Joshi, A., Lazarova, M. B., & Liao, H. 2009. Getting Everyone on Board: The Role of Inspirational Leadership in Geographically Dispersed Teams. *Organization Science*, 20(1): 240-252.
- Kanawattanachai, P. & Yoo, Y. 2007. The Impact of Knowledge Coordination on Virtual Team Performance over Time. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(4): 783-808.
- Kankanhalli, A., Tan, B. C. Y., & Kwok-Kee, W. 2005. Contributing Knowledge to Electronic Knowledge Repositories: An Empirical Investigation. *MIS Quarterly*, 29: 113-143.
- Klein, R. & Rai, A. 2009. INTERFIRM STRATEGIC INFORMATION FLOWS IN LOGISTICS SUPPLY CHAIN RELATIONSHIPS. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(4): 735-762.
- Komiak, S. Y. X. & Benbasat, I. 2006. The Effects of Personalization and Familiarity on Trust and Adoption of Recommendation Agents. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(4): 941-960.
- Krishnan, R., Martin, X., & Noorderhaven, N. G. 2006. WHEN DOES TRUST MATTER TO ALLIANCE PERFORMANCE? *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(5): 894-917.
- Langfred, C. W. 2004. TOO MUCH OF A GOOD THING? NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF HIGH TRUST AND INDIVIDUAL AUTONOMY IN SELF-MANAGING TEAMS. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(3): 385-399.
- Langfred, C. W. 2007. THE DOWNSIDE OF SELF-MANAGEMENT: A LONGITUDINAL STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF CONFLICT ON TRUST, AUTONOMY, AND TASK INTERDEPENDENCE IN SELF-MANAGING TEAMS. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(4): 885-900.
- Lazzarini, S. G., Miller, G. J., & Zenger, T. R. 2008. Dealing with the Paradox of Embeddedness: The Role of Contracts and Trust in Facilitating Movement Out of Committed Relationships. *Organization Science*, 19(5): 709-728.
- Leimeister, J. M., Sidiras, P., & Krcmar, H. 2004. *Success Factors of Virtual Communities from the Perspective of Members and Operators - an Empirical Study*. Paper presented at the 37th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 37), January 5-8, 2004, Big Island, Hawai'i.
- Leimeister, J. M., Ebner, W., & Krcmar, H. 2005. Design, Implementation, and Evaluation of Trust-Supporting Components in Virtual Communities for Patients. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 21(4): 101-135.
- Leimeister, J. M., Sidiras, P., & Krcmar, H. 2006. Exploring Success Factors of Virtual Communities: The Perspectives of Members and Operators. *Journal of Organizational Computing & Electronic Commerce*, 16(3/4): 279-300.
- Luhmann, N. 1979. *Trust and power*. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Malhotra, D. & Murnighan, J. K. 2002. The Effects of Contracts on Interpersonal Trust. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47(3): 534-559.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. 1995. An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3): 709-734.
- Mayer, R. C. & Davis, J. H. 1999. The Effect of the Performance Appraisal System on Trust for Management: A Field Quasi-Experiment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 84(1): 123-136.
- Mayer, R. C. & Gavin, M. B. 2005. TRUST IN MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE: WHO MINDS THE SHOP WHILE THE EMPLOYEES WATCH THE BOSS? *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(5): 874-888.
- McAllister, D. J. 1995. AFFECT- AND COGNITION-BASED TRUST AS FOUNDATIONS FOR INTERPERSONAL COOPERATION IN ORGANIZATIONS. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(1): 24-59.
- McKnight, D. H., Choudhury, V., & Kacmar, C. 2002a. Developing and Validating Trust Measures for e-Commerce: An Integrative Typology. *Information Systems Research*, 13(3): 334-359.

- McKnight, H. D., Choudhury, V., & Kacmar, C. 2002b. The impact of initial consumer trust on intentions to transact with a web site: a trust building model. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 11(3-4): 297-323.
- Mishra, A. K. 1996. Organizational Responses to Crisis: The Centrality of Trust. In R. M. Kramer & T. R. Tyler (Eds.), *Trust in Organizations: Frontiers of Theory and Research*: 261-287. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Mithas, S., Jones, J. L., & Mitchell, W. 2008. Buyer Intention to Use Internet-Enabled Reverse Auctions: The Role of Asset Specificity, Product Specialization and Non-Contractibility. *MIS Quarterly*, 32: 705-724.
- Morgan, R. M. & Hunt, S. D. 1994. The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3): 20.
- Muir, B. M. 1994. Trust in automation: Part I. Theoretical issues in the study of trust and human intervention in automated systems. *Ergonomics*, 37(11): 1905 - 1922.
- Paul, D. L. & McDaniel Jr, R. R. 2004. A Field Study of the Effect of Interpersonal Trust on Virtual Collaborative Relationship Performance. *MIS Quarterly*, 28: 183-227.
- Pavlou, P. A. & Fygenson, M. 2006. Understanding and Prediction Electronic Commerce Adoption: An Extension of the Theory of Planned Behavior. *MIS Quarterly*, 30: 115-143.
- Pavlou, P. A., Huigang, L., & Yajiong, X. 2007. Understanding and Mitigating Uncertainty in Online Exchange Relationships: A Principal-Agent Perspective. *MIS Quarterly*, 31: 105-136.
- Perrone, V., Zaheer, A., & McEvily, B. 2003. Free to Be Trusted? Organizational Constraints on Trust in Boundary Spanners. *Organization Science*, 14(4): 422-439.
- Petter, S., Straub, D., & Rai, A. 2007. Specifying Formative Constructs in Information Systems Research. *MIS Quarterly*, 31: 623-656.
- Polzer, J. T., Crisp, C. B., Jarvenpaa, S. L., & Kim, J. W. 2006. EXTENDING THE FAULTLINE MODEL TO GEOGRAPHICALLY DISPERSED TEAMS: HOW COLOCATED SUBGROUPS CAN IMPAIR GROUP FUNCTIONING. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(4): 679-692.
- Poppo, L., Zhou, K. Z., & Sungmin, R. 2008. Alternative Origins to Interorganizational Trust: An Interdependence Perspective on the Shadow of the Past and the Shadow of the Future. *Organization Science*, 19(1): 39-55.
- Rafaeli, A., Sagy, Y., & Derfler-Rozin, R. 2008. Logos and Initial Compliance: A Strong Case of Mindless Trust. *Organization Science*, 19(6): 845-859.
- Rai, A., Maruping, L. M., & Venkatesh, V. 2009. Offshore Information Systems Project Success: The Role of Social Embeddedness and Cultural Characteristics. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(3): 617-A617.
- Rempel, J. K., Holmes, J. G., & Zanna, M. P. 1985. Trust in Close Relationships. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 49(1): 95-112.
- Resatsch, F., Sandner, U., Leimeister, J. M., & Krcmar, H. 2008. Do Point of Sale RFID-Based Information Services Make a Difference? Analyzing Consumer Perceptions for Designing Smart Product Information Services in Retail Business. *Electronic Markets*, 18(3): 692-709.
- Robinson, S. L. 1996. Trust and Breach of the Psychological Contract. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(4): 574-599.
- Robson, M. J., Katsikeas, C. S., & Bello, D. C. 2008. Drivers and Performance Outcomes of Trust in International Strategic Alliances: The Role of Organizational Complexity. *Organization Science*, 19(4): 647-665.
- Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. 1998. Not so different at all: A cross disciplinary view of trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(3): 393-404.
- Saparito, P. A., Chen, C. C., & Sapienza, H. J. 2004. THE ROLE OF RELATIONAL TRUST IN BANK--SMALL FIRM RELATIONSHIPS. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(3): 400-410.
- ScienceWatch.com; Journals Ranked by Impact: Management;
http://sciencewatch.com/dr/sci/09/apr12-09_2/; Accessed on January the 12th 2010.

- Sia, C. L., Lim, K. H., Leung, K., Lee, M. K. O., Huang, W. W., & Benbasat, I. 2009. Web Strategies to Promote Internet Shopping: Is Cultural-Customization Needed? *MIS Quarterly*, 33(3): 491-512.
- Simons, T. L. & Peterson, R. S. 2000. Task Conflict and Relationship Conflict in Top Management Teams: The Pivotal Role of Intragroup Trust. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(1): 102-111.
- Singh, J. & Sirdeshmukh, D. 2000. Agency and trust mechanisms in consumer satisfaction and loyalty judgments. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(1): 150-167.
- Stewart, K. J. 2003. Trust Transfer on the World Wide Web. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 5-17.
- Stewart, K. J. & Gosain, S. 2006. The Impact of Ideology on Effectiveness in Open Source Software Development Teams. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(2): 291-314.
- Swanson, E. B. & Ramiller, N. C. 1993. Information Systems Research Thematics: Submissions to a New Journal, 1987-1992. *Information Systems Research*, 4(4): 299-330.
- Szulanski, G., Cappetta, R., & Jensen, R. J. 2004. When and How Trustworthiness Matters: Knowledge Transfer and the Moderating Effect of Causal Ambiguity. *Organization Science*, 15(5): 600-613.
- Webster, J. & Watson, R. T. 2002. Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2): xiii-xxiii.
- Yamagishi, T. & Yamagishi, M. 1994. Trust and Commitment in the United-States and Japan. *Motivation and Emotion*, 18(2): 129-166.
- Zaheer, A., McEvily, B., & Perrone, V. 1998. Does Trust Matter? Exploring the Effects of Interorganizational and Interpersonal Trust on Performance. *Organization Science*, 9(2): 141-159.